

DRAWINGS

The drawings were objected to due to the following informalities:

- a. The Figures lacked many visible lead lines in FIG. 1 and lead lines in FIG. 2 are of poor quality.
- b. The lines forming the figures are of poor quality.

Correction was required.


Replacement of two drawing pages containing FIG. 1 and FIG. 2 are submitted for the Examiner's approval. Lead lines have been added for each reference number in FIG. 1 and the quality of lead lines improved in FIG. 2. In addition, faint lines in the FIGS have been darkened appropriately. The accuracy of the reference characters have been confirmed by their correspondence to the description in the specification as submitted on 7 SEP 2001 (with amendments indicated below). No new matter has been added since all reference characters in the drawings properly corresponded to description in the specification.

REMARKS

In view of the amendments made to the drawings, abstract, claims and specification, all objections should be withdrawn and the application should be in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

DATE: 5/19/05

Respectfully submitted,
Michaud-Duffy Group, LLP



Richard R. Michaud -Registration No. 40,088
Attorney for Applicant
Email: michaud@michaud-duffy.com

Michaud-Duffy Group LLP
CenterPoint
306 Industrial Park Road
Suite 206
Middletown, CT 06457-1532

Tel. No.: (860) 632-7200
Fax No.: (860) 632-8269



Appendix

DRAWINGS: Replacement Sheets- drawing figures-] 2 sheets

Abstract --Clean Copy Version (1 page) and Marked Up Version(1page)

Substitute Specification- Pages 1-12 numbered

Specification Marked up Version 1-12 hand numbered